



PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q58044

Hisashi YAMAGISHI, et al.

Appln. No.: 09/511,898

Group Art Unit: 3711

Confirmation No.: 4185

Examiner: Alvin A. HUNTER

Filed: February 23, 2000

For: MULTI-PIECE SOLID GOLF BALL

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated February 21, 2002, reconsideration is respectfully requested based on the following remarks:

REMARKS

Claims 1-3 are all the claims pending in the application.

I. Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1-3 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamagishi et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,695,413) in view of Yamagishi et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,779,563). Applicant traverses these rejections for at least the reasons discussed below.

To establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness the Examiner must show that the prior art references, when combined, teach or suggest all of the claim limitations. See MPEP § 2143.

Applicant respectfully submits that the references cited above by the Examiner fail to teach or suggest all of the claim limitations as set forth in the present application. Specifically, the